Dr. James B. Rhoads Archivist of the United States Wational Archives and Records Service Washington, D. C. 20408

Dear Dr. Rhoads:

The agenda of the Warren Commission executive session of January 27, 1964, makes it obvious that the entire transcript cannot properly be withheld. I therefore renew my request for it and for a list of any of the topics you may decide still to withheld. From the attachments to the agenda, it would seem that not even what relates to the protection of the President is subject to withhelding.

Mr. Hoover's letter of February 11, 1964, in the GAI-FBI file, refers to several things I would like: The Lonnie Hudkins story in the Houston Post of 1/1/64; the FBI interview with his of 2/8/64 (and any others); the FBI interviews with Philadelphia Inquirer reporter Joe Foulden and his stories.

Mr. Hoover's letter of February 17, 1964, same file: the January 25, 1964, interview with Bill Alexander (and any others).

Mr. Hoover's latter of February 10, 1964, same file: the FBI interview February 8, 1964, with Henry Wade (and any others).

From these files it would seem there should be additional memorands, by Mr. Rankin and/or others, on their conferences relating to Usuald as government-connected. These should include those of his January 24 conference with the Texans and that of January 28 with Mr. Hoover. If there are any reports or memoranda of interviews with Dean Storey, I should like them, also.

There was no covering letter with the registered package of material I received yesterday, including these letters and whaterelates to the January 27, 1964, executive session. I presume you have not yet sent me all the meterial on Osmild an egent.

In the PC-6 file is a memo saying there were to be subsequent staff meetings on this subject, including the Hosty matter. If there were, I would like copies of any records.

In the material supplied me, there are no affidavits denying Oswald was connected with either the Defense Intelligence Agency, the Office of Navy Intelligence or any Marine intelligence unit. Is there such evidence? If so, way I please have copies?

May I, please, have copies of Exhibits 706-9 and ID 1395. pp. 16-8.

If my account is getting low, if you will adviss me, I will replanish it. Sincerely,